

# Debugging



**UVic SEng 265**

Daniel M. German

*Department of Computer Science*

University of Victoria

November 20, 2002 Version: 1.00

# Debugging



- ❖ "If debugging is the art of removing bugs, then programming must be the art of inserting them." Anonymous
- ❖ Bug: b A defect or fault in a machine, plan, or the like. orig. U.S. 1889 Pall Mall Gaz. 11 Mar. 1/1 Mr. Edison, I was informed, had been up the two previous nights discovering 'a bug' in his phonograph—an expression for solving a difficulty, and implying that some imaginary insect has secreted itself inside and is causing all the trouble. OED

# Coding & Debugging



- ❖ Errors are unavoidable
- ❖ Good programmers know that they spend as much time debugging as writing code
- ❖ They tend to learn from mistakes
- ❖ And they try to **avoid** mistakes
- ❖ Debugging is hard, takes time, and we hate it

# Techniques to Reduce Debugging



- ❖ Good Design
- ❖ Good Style
- ❖ Boundary Condition Tests
- ❖ Assertions
- ❖ Sanity Checks in the Code
- ❖ Defensive Programming
- ❖ Limited Global Data
- ❖ Checking tools

# The Role of the Language



- ❖ Some languages try to prevent bugs:
  - ❖ Range checking on subscripts
  - ❖ No pointers at all
  - ❖ Garbage Collection
  - ❖ Strong type checking
- ❖ No language prevents you from creating errors
- ❖ Programmers should know the risks of their language
- ❖ Enable all warnings

# The Debugger



- ❖ Very useful tool
- ❖ Lets you run a program line by line
- ❖ We can inspect the value of variables and the stack at any point
- ❖ I am tired of teaching programming/OS details, so let's talk about SEng instead: we will talk about how to debug, without a debugger

# Good Clues, Easy Bugs



- ❖ Don't blame the compiler, library or OS!
- ❖ Bugs are our fault (or worse, somebody else's when working in teams)
- ❖ Look at the debugging output before the crash
- ❖ Get a stack trace of the crash (so you know where it crashed)
- ❖ Debugging is like solving a murder
  - ❖ Something improbable happened
  - ❖ We have the evidence
  - ❖ Solve it **backwards**
- ❖ Once we know what happened, it is easy to fix

# Good Clues, Easy Bugs...



## ❖ Some strategies:

- ❖ Look for familiar patterns: ask yourself if you have seen this pattern before.
- ❖ Examine the most recent change: What was the last change? If you test frequently, you can catch the change that prompted the bug. Make frequent backups/commits so you can make diffs.
- ❖ Don't make the same mistake twice: learn from your mistakes! That is why experienced programmers earn more.
- ❖ Debug it now, not later. Don't wait for another bug to make your debugging more miserable.



# Good Clues, Easy Bugs...



## ❖ More strategies:

- ❖ Get a stack trace. Use the debugger to examine the location of the error and the contents of the stack at the time of failure.
- ❖ Read Before typing. Understand the code before you make the changes.
- ❖ Explain it to somebody else (get a personal *friend*, e.g. Kernighan's teddy bear).

# No clues, hard bugs



- ❖ Sometimes you have no idea what is going on
- ❖ Strategies:
  - ❖ Make the bug reproducible. Try to make it appear when you want and not randomly. Not always possible, but worth trying.
  - ❖ Divide and conquer. Can you make the input that creates the problem smaller or more focused? Use binary search.
  - ❖ *Numerology* of failures. Are there any numeric patterns in the error?
  - ❖ Add debugging messages to help you track the behavior

# No clues, hard bugs



## ❖ More strategies:

- ❖ Write self checking code. Add code that verifies that the internal state of your variables has not been corrupted (assertions).
- ❖ Write a log file. Print output to a log file that you can inspect after the program crashes.
- ❖ Draw a picture.
- ❖ Use tools: diff, grep, shell scripts...
- ❖ Keep records: what have you tried?

# The Debugger



- ❖ A powerful tool but often misused.
- ❖ Sometimes your mental model is just plain wrong
  - ❖ You don't quite understand the intricacies of the language you are using
  - ❖ Or the error is a subtle typo
  - ❖ Sometimes you passed the parameters in the wrong order
- ❖ The debugger might help you to find these errors by stepping through your code
- ❖ But remember, the debugger does not replace your brain

# Non-reproducible Bugs



- ❖ Most difficult and challenging (I call them “unreliable bugs”)
- ❖ The fact they are non-deterministic is already information about them
- ❖ Strategies
  - ❖ Check for uninitialized memory: you might be picking a random value.
  - ❖ If your code disappears when you are using debugging code (or the debugger) your problem is most likely memory allocation
  - ❖ If the crash (symptom) is far away from the potential cause then it might be a dangling pointer (using memory that you don't own any more) or using an array beyond its boundaries

# Non-reproducible Bugs...



- ❖ More strategies
  - ❖ Sometimes a program fails with one person, and not with other. Works in one computer, not in another: check the environment (Environment variables, permissions, OS version, etc)
  - ❖ Some tools available to keep track of memory allocation (dmalloc library, for example)

# Other People's Bugs



- ❖ You will have to fix somebody else's bugs
- ❖ Everything we have said still applies
- ❖ First you should understand the code you are debugging (discovery)
- ❖ Use tools for understanding code (cross referencing tools, code comprehension utilities)
- ❖ Use the debugger to understand the programmer's solution
- ❖ Analyze the log of revisions
- ❖ If the problem is in code you can't fix, prepare a good report of your bug diagnostic. Remember, your reputation is on the line

# Conclusions



- ❖ Debugging can be fun: solving puzzles
- ❖ It is an art that we will practice regularly
- ❖ AVOID inserting bugs
- ❖ Hard thinking is the best approach
- ❖ Add debugging code (printing output, defensive code)
- ❖ Explain it to somebody else (K' teddy bear)
- ❖ Use a debugger
- ❖ Step through your code
- ❖ Know yourself



# Conclusions



- ❖ Use the scientific method:
  - ❖ Gather information
  - ❖ Formulate a hypothesis
  - ❖ Create an experiment to verify your hypothesis
  - ❖ Test it (modify code and run it)
  - ❖ Did it not pass? Repeat.
- ❖ Remember, it is important that you celebrate when you finally squash it!