

University of Victoria
Course Experience Survey
Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Course: SENG 401
Section: A01
Instructor: DANIEL GERMAN
Dept/Faculty: Engineering, Faculty of Engineering
Enrolment: 22
Rate of Response: 81.8%
I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	6%	28%	67%	18	4.61	0.61	5.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	11%	33%	56%	18	4.44	0.70	5.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	0%	6%	0%	35%	59%	17	4.47	0.80	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	6%	6%	56%	33%	18	4.17	0.79	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	11%	50%	39%	18	4.28	0.67	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	0%	28%	33%	39%	18	4.11	0.83	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	11%	17%	72%	18	4.61	0.70	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	0%	6%	28%	67%	18	4.61	0.61	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	0%	17%	50%	33%	18	4.17	0.71	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	0%	33%	39%	28%	18	3.94	0.80	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	39%	33%	28%	18	3.89	0.83	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	0%	6%	50%	44%	18	4.39	0.61	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	0%	17%	56%	28%	18	4.11	0.68	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	6%	44%	50%	18	4.44	0.62	4.5
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	6%	50%	44%	18	4.39	0.61	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	4	11	2	0	0

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	14	2	0	0	2

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	1	1	9	7	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	4	8	6	0	0	0

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	1	4	13

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	0%	6%	22%	72%	18
22.	0%	0%	6%	50%	44%	18
23.	33%	0%	0%	67%	0%	3
24.	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	2
25.	13%	25%	25%	25%	13%	8

University of Victoria
Course Experience Survey
Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Dept/Faculty: **Engineering, Faculty of Engineering**

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	1%	2%	18%	45%	35%	326	4.11	0.81	4.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	8%	14%	29%	31%	19%	325	3.38	1.17	3.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	11%	15%	28%	27%	18%	324	3.26	1.24	3.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	2%	4%	20%	37%	36%	315	4.01	0.96	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	4%	8%	22%	35%	31%	323	3.81	1.09	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	7%	10%	28%	33%	22%	323	3.54	1.14	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	1%	6%	16%	36%	41%	322	4.12	0.92	4.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	5%	12%	26%	31%	26%	323	3.61	1.14	4.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	13%	19%	23%	30%	15%	326	3.16	1.26	3.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	11%	18%	31%	23%	17%	325	3.16	1.22	3.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	6%	11%	30%	30%	23%	318	3.51	1.14	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	3%	8%	25%	31%	33%	319	3.84	1.05	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	6%	10%	26%	38%	20%	324	3.57	1.10	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	6%	14%	23%	31%	26%	325	3.58	1.17	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	6%	15%	26%	33%	20%	323	3.45	1.15	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	38	266	5	4	7

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	211	74	7	3	29

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	18	79	158	61	6

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	51	111	90	44	11	13

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	77	154	91

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	1%	5%	22%	32%	41%	318
22.	5%	11%	24%	33%	27%	311
23.	14%	15%	28%	20%	23%	153
24.	15%	14%	30%	26%	16%	74
25.	8%	12%	24%	28%	28%	250

**SENG 401 A01
D GERMAN
SUMMER 2010**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Actually cared about learning experience. Wanted to engage us in material.
- He used variety of examples. Those examples are up to date, so I lean a lot of useful materials.
- Used the blackboard instead of power point which was good.
- Well organized lectures.
- He could elaborate on ideas and opinions of students.
- Allow students to participate on the topic by asking questions and comments.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- Provide sources/slides online. Sample midterm.
- More structure for movies.
- Use transparencies to write notes instead of the board.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Provide important & relevant information for my future career. Emphasized important topics we must consider during out jobs & education.
- Discussion during the class.
- Interesting topic/class
- I enjoyed the topics and ideas brought up in the course.
- Learn ethics.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- The Wikipedia project seemed kind of (??) and I felt it didn't really apply to the class => or I couldn't see the connection. => maybe 2 presentations or marks for finding news articles about recent events & writing one page essays about.

- I thought watching home movies are very interesting assignment but he did not use its contents a lot during the class. Maybe more discussion about movies?
- Provide feedback on the presentations. The Wiki assignment didn't seem relevant.
- Earlier times.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. – 8 responses



Course: Seng 401
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- interested in the topic
- interesting to listen to.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- More specific deadlines and marking guidelines

What are the main strengths of this course?

- useful applicable and central to engineering

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: SEng 401 (eg. COM 205)

Section: S01 (eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous - no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Very approachable, impressionable

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

Perhaps some debatable issues not just has 2 ends but needs to enforce some sort of direction or approach.

What are the main strengths of this course?

It is more important and useful than I thought, as I encounter more cases in the workplaces that I have worked in the past I think Ethics will benefit us in more ways, not more or less than calculus!

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Presentations give me a heart attack!

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SENG401
(eg. COM 205)

Section: 01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: DM German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

As I said back when I took 370, DMG is easily the best instructor in the department.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

For midterms/finals get someone to read over questions before to avoid double negatives or unclear wording

What are the main strengths of this course?

1

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!