University of Victoria
Course Experience Survey
Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Course: **SENG 265**   **Section:** **A01**   **Instructor:** **DANIEL GERMAN**

Dept/Faculty: **Engineering, Faculty of Engineering**   **Enrolment:** **29**   **Rate of Response:** **79.3%**

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of			
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	13%	39%	48%	23	4.35	0.71	4.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	13%	4%	43%	39%	23	4.09	1.00	4.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	4%	4%	4%	39%	48%	23	4.22	1.04	4.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	9%	48%	43%	23	4.35	0.65	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	4%	22%	48%	26%	23	3.96	0.82	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	4%	9%	57%	30%	23	4.13	0.76	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	13%	26%	61%	23	4.48	0.73	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	4%	4%	4%	26%	61%	23	4.35	1.07	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of			
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	9%	17%	61%	13%	23	3.78	0.80	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	4%	4%	30%	26%	35%	23	3.83	1.11	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	13%	39%	48%	23	4.35	0.71	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	4%	9%	43%	43%	23	4.26	0.81	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	9%	0%	57%	35%	23	4.17	0.83	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	13%	39%	48%	23	4.35	0.71	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	4%	9%	48%	39%	23	4.22	0.80	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:

	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	1	22	0	0	0

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:

	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	16	5	0	0	2

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:

	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	1	11	8	2	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	2	8	6	6	0	1

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	2	8	13

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of
	1	2	3	4	5	Resp.
21.	0%	0%	4%	30%	65%	23
22.	9%	4%	13%	26%	48%	23
23.	0%	9%	13%	17%	61%	23
24.	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	4
25.	0%	0%	40%	40%	20%	5

University of Victoria
Course Experience Survey
Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Dept/Faculty: Engineering, Faculty of Engineering

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.			
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	1%	2%	18%	45%	35%	326	4.11	0.81	4.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	8%	14%	29%	31%	19%	325	3.38	1.17	3.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	11%	15%	28%	27%	18%	324	3.26	1.24	3.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	2%	4%	20%	37%	36%	315	4.01	0.96	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	4%	8%	22%	35%	31%	323	3.81	1.09	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	7%	10%	28%	33%	22%	323	3.54	1.14	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	1%	6%	16%	36%	41%	322	4.12	0.92	4.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	5%	12%	26%	31%	26%	323	3.61	1.14	4.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent	Resp.			
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	13%	19%	23%	30%	15%	326	3.16	1.26	3.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	11%	18%	31%	23%	17%	325	3.16	1.22	3.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	6%	11%	30%	30%	23%	318	3.51	1.14	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	3%	8%	25%	31%	33%	319	3.84	1.05	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	6%	10%	26%	38%	20%	324	3.57	1.10	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	6%	14%	23%	31%	26%	325	3.58	1.17	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	6%	15%	26%	33%	20%	323	3.45	1.15	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:

	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	38	266	5	4	7

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:

	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	211	74	7	3	29

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:

	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	18	79	158	61	6

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:

	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	51	111	90	44	11	13

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:

	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	77	154	91

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of
	1	2	3	4	5	Resp.
21.	1%	5%	22%	32%	41%	318
22.	5%	11%	24%	33%	27%	311
23.	14%	15%	28%	20%	23%	153
24.	15%	14%	30%	26%	16%	74
25.	8%	12%	24%	28%	28%	250

SENG 265 A01
D GERMAN
SUMMER 2010

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Very passionate about the subject. Engaging and knowlegable.
- Helped to explain the theory and concepts behind the language very well. Other courses I have taken assume you know concepts and expect you to learn theory on your own.
- I appreciated the focus on trying to make us think about proper methods to approach, design and write a program to make things easier in the long term.
- Use of Blackboard/tablet. Interactive classes. Shows that the instructor cares about students/course.
- Enthusiasm for the subject material. Engaging ideas & personality. Hands-on approach (even for things like memory allocation & pointers in C). Good pace & use of slides/blackboard.
- The instructor was very enthusiastic and has very good indepth knowledge of industry practices and methods that make an effective programmer and software developer.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- The material covered in class was really brief and abstract. They didn't really help do the assignments or labs.
- Please organize your notes and try to present examples more clearly.
- Explain things in details, instead of assuming people know the concepts. The level of each student is different.
- He sometimes would write something on the board and immediately erase it. Should slow down. Should spend more time explaining the trickier elements of our assignments.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Covers different aspects of software engineering, both abstract & concrete.
- Beginning foundations in some major aspects of the industry. eg. Programming & scripting languages, CVN, make, etc.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Put together a better textbook with useful examples of <u>CODE</u>.
- First lab was too large with very little experience.
- Too much stuff was covered in a short period of time, reduce the load.
- I got totally lost on GDB & couldn't figure out how to use it well. Better intro?
- Would like to have become more proficient and aware of more modules and how to use them in the standard libraries.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. –5 responses

Course: SENG 265   Section: A01   Instructor: O. German
(eg. COM 205)   (eg. F02)

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐ **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

☐ **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- Teach us how to code in class
- often seem to ramble about stuff not relevant to course
- Very little was taught in class that helped with the assignments or labs

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- More teach of coding in lectures.
- labs often took more than the 1½ hours because students didn't have the required level of coding to complete them

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Date of last revision: June 16, 2008

Course: SENG 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐ **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

☐ **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Interactive Teaching
- No reliance on slides

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Requires a variety of techniques/tools

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Less team emphasis

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Date of last revision: June 16, 2008

Course: SENG 265 (eg. COM 205) **Section:** A01 (eg. F02) **Instructor:** German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

[X] **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

[ ] **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

→ Very good understanding of the material

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

→ Provide clearer course website

What are the main strengths of this course?

→ Wide variety of topics, tools, languages etc.
→ abstraction to general concepts

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: SENG 265   Section: A01   Instructor: Daniel m. German
(eg. COM 205)   (eg. F02)

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐ **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

☐ **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

The Assignments, while difficult, had use outside of just a learning exercise. For example recovering JPEGs

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

More info in assignment description: like helpful libraries/functions would have been nice

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Date of last revision: June 16, 2008

Course: SENG 265 (eg. COM 205) **Section:** _____ (eg. F02) **Instructor:** Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐ **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

☐ **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

· Daniel was motivating, had fun and interactive lectures.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

· handing assignments back sooner/providing more feedback on them.

What are the main strengths of this course?

· Covers a lot of material

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Date of last revision: June 16, 2008

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: _SEng 265_   Section: _A01_   Instructor: _D. German_
(eg. COM 205)   (eg. F02)

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☒ **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

☐ **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Gennerally knowlebable, and took the time to explain carefully and fully.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Date of last revision: June 16, 2008

Course: Seng 265   Section: AO1   Instructor: Daniel M. German

(eg. COM 205)   (eg. F02)

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

[X] **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

[ ] **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Allowed me ~~Helped~~ to improve and understand a easier with C environment.

~~I was~~

He explained them easy enough for us to understand.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Date of last revision: June 16, 2008

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: SEng 265   **Section:** A01   **Instructor:** P. Gormin
(eg. COM 205)   (eg. F02)

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

[☑] **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

[ ] **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

That he had real world experience.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: __SEng 265__
(eg. COM 205)

Section: __A01__
(eg. F02)

Instructor: __Dr. German__

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

[X] **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

[ ] **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Thorough knowledge of subject matter.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

n/a

What are the main strengths of this course?

I found it a good introduction to the field of Software Engineering, and it helped spark my interest a fair bit.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

n/a

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Course: _Seng 265_   Section: _A01_   Instructor: _D. German_
(eg. COM 205)   (eg. F02)

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

[X] **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.**

[ ] **Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Explains concepts clearly

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

learning to work in teams

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

more on how to effectively use SVN

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

Date of last revision: June 16, 2008