

Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2008 Spring

Processed: 08May01

Class: **SENG 410 - S01**
 Instructor: **D. German**
 Department: **CSc**
 Enrollment: **19 students**

Questions	Class		-2	-1	0	+1	+2	CSc Average	Survey Average
	N	Average	+	+	+	+	+		
THE COURSE	10	0.80					***		
grading scheme was made clear to me	10	0.40					**	1.38	1.39
objectives were made clear to me	10	0.80					***	1.28	1.25
assignments/problems helped understanding	10	0.80					***	1.19	1.08
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	10	0.80					***	1.05	0.96
has an appropriate number of examples	10	1.10					****	1.07	0.93
text helped understanding	7	0.86					***	0.77	0.60
material coincided with personal interest	10	1.30					*****	0.78	0.77
workload was heavy (relative to others)	10	0.20					*	0.56	0.48
is such that I would recommend to others	10	0.90					****	0.79	0.79
THE PROFESSOR	10	1.17					*****		
expresses ideas with clarity	10	1.20					*****	1.16	1.09
has a positive attitude about course material	10	1.40					*****	1.56	1.51
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	10	1.30					*****	1.18	1.10
is well organized and prepared	10	1.30					*****	1.20	1.24
uses visual aids effectively	10	1.30					*****	1.27	1.18
provides effective feedback on performance	10	0.70					***	0.93	0.87
is concerned that students understand material	10	1.10					****	1.29	1.21
creates a climate open to asking questions	10	1.10					****	1.45	1.39
fairly considers students' suggestions	10	1.10					****	1.38	1.32
displays a good understanding of the material	10	1.30					*****	1.51	1.51
makes sufficient office hours available	10	0.90					****	1.16	1.11
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	10	1.30					*****	1.40	1.36
overall teaching ability is excellent	9	1.22					*****	1.19	1.12
THE LABORATORY	9	0.98					****		
work is instructive and relevant	9	1.00					****	0.90	0.93
work is well timed to the lectures	9	1.00					****	0.84	0.78
manual is useful	5	0.80					***	0.50	0.60
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	9	1.11					****	0.90	0.86
THE PROJECT	9	1.19					*****		
is of appropriate length	9	1.11					****	0.84	0.90
contributes to understanding relevant material	9	1.22					*****	1.04	0.98
is intellectually challenging	9	1.22					*****	1.08	1.02
THE TUTORIALS	1	1.00					****		
are instructive	1	1.00					****	0.72	0.73
are well organized	1	1.00					****	0.69	0.66
instructor is competent and clear	1	1.00					****	0.83	0.78

Class N number of respondents to the question
 Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by Class N
 CSc Average sum of Class Average for all CSc classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes
 Survey Average sum of Class Average for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that CSc and Survey Average are weighted per class, not per respondent

**SENG 410 S01
D. GERMAN
SPRING 2008**

- He is a pretty good teacher. But please increase the quality of the midterm.

- **I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. 9 responses**