

Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2007 Summer

Processed: 07Sep27

Class: **CSC 484A/ENGL 503 - M01**
 Instructor: **D. German**
 Department: **CSc**
 Enrollment: **13 students**

| Questions | Class | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | CSc Average | Survey Average |
|---|-------|---------|----|----|---|-------|----|----------------|-------------------|
| | N | Average | + | + | + | + | + | | |
| THE COURSE | 12 | 0.99 | | | | **** | | | |
| grading scheme was made clear to me | 12 | 1.75 | | | | ***** | | 1.47 | 1.38 |
| objectives were made clear to me | 12 | 0.92 | | | | **** | | 1.26 | 1.21 |
| assignments/problems helped understanding | 12 | 1.25 | | | | ***** | | 1.19 | 1.10 |
| midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding | 10 | 1.20 | | | | ***** | | 1.01 | 0.96 |
| has an appropriate number of examples | 12 | 0.83 | | | | *** | | 0.99 | 0.89 |
| text helped understanding | 12 | 1.17 | | | | ***** | | 0.62 | 0.58 |
| material coincided with personal interest | 12 | 0.42 | | | | ** | | 0.74 | 0.78 |
| workload was heavy (relative to others) | 12 | 0.50 | | | | ** | | 0.70 | 0.57 |
| is such that I would recommend to others | 12 | 0.83 | | | | *** | | 0.70 | 0.73 |
| THE PROFESSOR | 12 | 1.25 | | | | ***** | | | |
| expresses ideas with clarity | 12 | 1.42 | | | | ***** | | 1.14 | 1.07 |
| has a positive attitude about course material | 12 | 1.67 | | | | ***** | | 1.61 | 1.51 |
| stimulates interest and thinking in the subject | 12 | 1.33 | | | | ***** | | 1.14 | 1.04 |
| is well organized and prepared | 12 | 1.17 | | | | ***** | | 1.15 | 1.17 |
| uses visual aids effectively | 12 | 1.25 | | | | ***** | | 1.24 | 1.12 |
| provides effective feedback on performance | 12 | 0.67 | | | | *** | | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| is concerned that students understand material | 8 | 1.12 | | | | **** | | 1.30 | 1.22 |
| creates a climate open to asking questions | 12 | 1.50 | | | | ***** | | 1.47 | 1.38 |
| fairly considers students' suggestions | 12 | 1.17 | | | | ***** | | 1.29 | 1.26 |
| displays a good understanding of the material | 12 | 1.75 | | | | ***** | | 1.46 | 1.42 |
| makes sufficient office hours available | 12 | 0.67 | | | | *** | | 1.05 | 1.12 |
| is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes | 12 | 1.25 | | | | ***** | | 1.28 | 1.22 |
| overall teaching ability is excellent | 12 | 1.33 | | | | ***** | | 1.14 | 1.07 |
| THE LABORATORY | 2 | 0.75 | | | | *** | | | |
| work is instructive and relevant | 2 | 0.50 | | | | ** | | 0.79 | 0.76 |
| work is well timed to the lectures | 2 | 1.00 | | | | **** | | 0.72 | 0.64 |
| manual is useful | 2 | 0.50 | | | | ** | | 0.65 | 0.40 |
| instructor(s) is competent and helpful | 2 | 1.00 | | | | **** | | 0.96 | 0.89 |
| THE PROJECT | 12 | 1.42 | | | | ***** | | | |
| is of appropriate length | 12 | 1.33 | | | | ***** | | 0.77 | 0.79 |
| contributes to understanding relevant material | 12 | 1.50 | | | | ***** | | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| is intellectually challenging | 12 | 1.42 | | | | ***** | | 1.20 | 1.03 |
| THE TUTORIALS | 2 | 0.67 | | | | *** | | | |
| are instructive | 2 | 0.50 | | | | ** | | 0.53 | 0.62 |
| are well organized | 2 | 0.50 | | | | ** | | 0.63 | 0.69 |
| instructor is competent and clear | 2 | 1.00 | | | | **** | | 0.55 | 0.66 |

Class N number of respondents to the question
 Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by Class N
 CSc Average sum of Class Average for all CSc classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes
 Survey Average sum of Class Average for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that CSc and Survey Average are weighted per class, not per respondent

COMPUTER SCIENCE 484A/ENGL 503 M01
D. GERMAN
SPRING 2007

- Daniel: Your wealth of knowledge, and candor, made this course engaging: the discussions you raised were some of the highlight-moments for me. Perhaps future classes would benefit if you prepared a brief timeline print-out of the “founders” of computation. Perhaps an academic paper?
 - The course structure was unique and interesting, but could have been more effective, I think, in a longer semester, where students would have had more time to build inter-faculty relationships and come to better understand their course in the c????? & group projects.
 - I definitely think this course should not be a 1 ½ month long course. There is way too much to cover in such little time. The pace of the course was light at the beginning but the end of the term we are getting a crazy workload. I think there should only be one presentation a week. Other than that I think the two teachers in this course were a perfect fit for it and communicated very well.
 - It was sometimes difficult to know how much was needed for the assignments. Also, timing towards the end was brutal as assignments, handout creation, a& the final project happened all at once.
- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. 4 responses**