## Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2005 Spring  
Processed: 05Jun06

**Class:**  
CSC 586E - S01

**Instructor:**  
D. German

**Department:**  
CSc

**Enrollment:**  
11 students

### Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Class N</th>
<th>Class Average</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>+1</th>
<th>+2</th>
<th>CSc Average</th>
<th>Survey Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE COURSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grading scheme was made clear to me</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectives were made clear to me</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assignments/problems helped understanding</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has an appropriate number of examples</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>text helped understanding</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>material coincided with personal interest</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workload was heavy (relative to others)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is such that I would recommend to others</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE PROFESSOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expresses ideas with clarity</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has a positive attitude about course material</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stimulates interest and thinking in the subject</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is well organized and prepared</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses visual aids effectively</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provides effective feedback on performance</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is concerned that students understand material</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creates a climate open to asking questions</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fairly considers students' suggestions</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>displays a good understanding of the material</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>makes sufficient office hours available</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall teaching ability is excellent</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE LABORATORY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work is instructive and relevant</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work is well timed to the lectures</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manual is useful</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instructor(s) is competent and helpful</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE PROJECT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is of appropriate length</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contributes to understanding relevant material</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is intellectually challenging</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE TUTORIALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>are instructive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>are well organized</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>*****</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instructor is competent and clear</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Class N** number of respondents to the question  
**Class Average** sum of individual responses to the question divided by **Class N**  
**CSc Average** sum of **Class Average** for all CSc classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes  
**Survey Average** sum of **Class Average** for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that **CSc** and **Survey Average** are weighted per class, not per respondent
Daniel German did an excellent job with this course. I strongly encourage you to offer it next spring. I believe it coincides with the interests of many academics. Also, the research-oriented nature of the course is refreshing.

Daniel is one of the best instructors in the department. He’s young, full of energy, and relates well to the students. His lectures are always well-prepared and keep everyone’s attention. This course was right up his alley. Well done!!

Great course, lots of fun and good discussion. The project (contribution) was an excellent way to experience open source and “get off the couch” and contribute.

Awesome class.
Very interesting.
Thought provoking.
One of the best teachers I have had. Look forward to having another class with him.

A section on comparison of OSS licenses would be useful, particularly if it was presented by guest speakers from the law department.
The goals of the research paper were not clear to me. More checkpoints throughout the course would be nice.

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. - 3 responses
Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:
Computer Science
Computer Engineering
Electrical Engineering
Mechanical Engineering
Other

COMMENTS
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☑️ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

Dear Daniel,

You are an exceptional teacher.

You made Open Source as beautiful as it can be made. I am really impressed by your efforts and your motivations. I strongly recommend you to teach this course again. I enjoyed it.

This course should be offered again.

Behai

ENGR/March 98
Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:
Computer Science
Computer Engineering
Electrical Engineering
Mechanical Engineering
Other

COMMENTS
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted**. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

SPACE TRICERATOPS

DM6 is a great teacher and shows his enthusiasm for the material in class.

ENGR/March 98
Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:
Computer Science
Computer Engineering
Electrical Engineering
Mechanical Engineering
Other

COMMENTS
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

Very enjoyable class.
I didn't like the IEEE software papers. Few of these would be better.

ENGR/March 98
Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:
Computer Science [ ]
Computer Engineering
Electrical Engineering
Mechanical Engineering
Other

COMMENTS
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☑️ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

I like the course environment
of discussion

ENGR/March 98
Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

**MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:**
- Computer Science
- Computer Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Mechanical Engineering
- Other

**COMMENTS**
Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted.** The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

The course readings and discussions were extremely insightful and interesting. I was able to directly apply this knowledge to my work. I especially enjoyed the project as it was a practical, hands-on way of experiencing open source development. It was definitely an enjoyable class.

ENGR/March 98